

Erratum: GEOTRACES radium isotopes interlaboratory comparison experiment

Matthew A. Charette^{1*}, Henrieta Dulaiova¹, Meagan E. Gonneea¹, Paul B. Henderson¹, Willard S. Moore², Jan C. Scholten³, and M.K. Pham³

¹Department of Marine Chemistry and Geochemistry, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02543 USA

²Department of Earth and Ocean Sciences, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208 USA

³International Atomic Energy Agency, Environment Laboratories MC-98000, Monaco

In our original paper, Charette, M. A., H. Dulaiova, M. E. Gonneea, P. B. Henderson, W. S. Moore, J. C. Scholten, and M. K. Pham. 2012. GEOTRACES radium isotopes interlaboratory comparison experiment. *Limnol. Oceanogr.: Methods* 10:451, the incorrect headers were used for Table 9. The correct version of Table 9 is shown below.

Table 9. Radium extraction efficiencies based on ²²⁸Ra in paired A and B columns using Mn coated acrylic fiber and a flow rate of < 1 L/min. If ²²⁸Ra data were not provided, the next most abundant Ra isotope was used to derive the extraction efficiency. Stations 1-3 are from the cruise and station 4 is from Waquoit Bay, MA. Samples indicated by an * were considered outliers, and therefore, were not used to compute the overall average.

Lab ID	Station 1	Station 2	Station 3	Station 4
4			0.84	0.87
5	0.76	0.95	0.71	0.80
6	0.95	1.00	1.00	
7	0.76	0.91	0.82	0.89
8	0.77	0.84	0.91	0.95
11	0.90	0.99	0.99	
12	1.00	1.00	0.97	0.99
13	0.89	0.96	0.96	0.98
15	0.78	0.88	0.80	
16			0.92	0.99
18	0.91	0.97	0.96	0.99
21		0.33*	0.57*	0.55*
23	0.96		0.80	0.85
Average	0.87	0.94	0.89	0.92
Std. Dev.	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.07
N	10	9	12	9
95% Conf. Int.	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.05

*Corresponding author: E-mail: mcharette@whoi.edu
DOI 10.4319/lom.2012.10.617